The Role of Psychological Safety in Strategy Implementation: A Brief Literature Review

Authors:

Clementine Chinyuku (clementinech@gmail.com)
Graduate School of Business, The University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia

Dr. Abubaker Qutieshat
University of Dundee, UK

Abstract:

In today's intricate and competitive business landscape, organizations must leverage robust strategies and exhibit agility to thrive. The effective implementation of these strategies is pivotal and fundamentally anchored in human capital, which operates best within a supportive organizational environment. A key component of this environment is psychological safety—the assurance that team members can voice their ideas and engage in risk-taking without the dread of negative repercussions. Leadership is instrumental in cultivating this psychological safety, fostering an atmosphere conducive to collaboration, innovation, and continuous learning. This literature review highlights the critical role of psychological safety in the efficacy of strategy implementation, asserting that leaders have a responsibility to intentionally create a constructive environment. Such a space invites and values employee contributions, thereby driving organizational success through effective strategy implementation.

Introduction

The business operating environment is rapidly evolving, with technological advancements opening up the operating space and changing business dynamics. Competition has increased while customer expectations and preferences are becoming more intricate. Some key challenges in the operating environment include global supply chain disruptions due to geopolitical tensions (AdvertiseMint, n.d.) in Russia and Ukraine, natural disasters, and the recent COVID-19 pandemic. These challenges result in shortages, bottlenecks, and price increases affecting business operations.

Characteristics of the operating environment include inflationary cost pressures impacting pricing and profitability, environmental concerns due to climate changes driving the need for sustainable business practices, the digital transformation wave requiring a balance between innovation and cybersecurity protection, and technological changes with AI, IoT, and blockchain requiring businesses to reengineer themselves and embrace innovation or become obsolete. The business operating environment is volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) (O'Reilly& Tushman, 2013). In the contemporary organizational landscape, the successful implementation of strategy is increasingly recognized as a multifaceted process significantly influenced by human behavior and intergroup dynamics. Businesses must adapt to evolving customer preferences and expectations driven by a demand for e-commerce, personalization, and the call for social responsibility. Businesses, therefore, need to navigate the intricate operating environment to remain relevant, recognize the opportunities, and respond with agility.

A robust business strategy is one of the ways for a business to respond to challenges effectively. A business strategy gives direction and differentiation by clearly outlining how the business will tackle its challenges and set itself apart from the competition. Once the business strategy has been articulated, the implementation process is expected to turn the plan into action and yield positive results. A key factor in strategy implementation is providing resources supporting the strategy, including people, processes, and systems. Effective allocation of resources is achieved once people understand the strategy, their role, and their contribution towards strategy implementation and work together towards successfully implementing the strategy (Journal of Management Studies, 2014).

Background of Study

According to stakeholder theory, organizations are a social construction where various stakeholders interact. Employees are among the key stakeholders critical for effectively implementing any strategy (Harrison et al., 2007). People are a critical success factor in strategy implementation because they translate plans into actions and drive organizational change through their decisions, actions, and attitudes (Chen et al., 2019). People provide the physical human resources the organization needs and the innovation and feedback that drive strategy implementation (McKinsey & Company, 2016). With

rapid environmental changes and heightened competition in the marketplace, organizations must ensure their employees feel secure in their roles. This dynamic empowers individuals to innovate, voice their thoughts, and collaborate more effectively, ultimately enhancing organizational performance and adaptability. Therefore, understanding the intersection of psychological safety and strategy implementation is essential for leaders who cultivate agile and resilient organizations. A conducive environment, therefore, must exist for people to deliver effectively.

The literature documenting the influence of psychological safety on team performance illustrates a clear correlation between a safe psychological climate and successful strategy implementation. Scholars have noted that when team members perceive their environment as psychologically safe, they are more likely to engage in candid discussions, challenge the status quo, and contribute to collective problem-solving efforts. Such environments encourage diversity of thought and protect against the detrimental effects of conformity and fear of judgment. Consequently, teams operating within a psychological safety framework are better positioned to navigate complex strategic initiatives. As organizations transition from traditional hierarchical models to more collaborative and fluid structures, the emphasis on psychological safety becomes even more pronounced, serving as a critical component of effective strategy execution. Psychological safety is, therefore, an essential element for strategy implementation because it creates the right environment for people to execute the strategic plan. Psychological safety refers to the belief that one can speak up, make mistakes, and take risks without being afraid that their actions will attract negative consequences or repercussions (Martínez-Córcoles & Zhu, X, 2020). This results in collaboration, learning, and innovation, which are all essential in the execution of strategic initiatives.

Exploring psychological safety within the context of strategy implementation offers valuable insights into how organizations can enhance their operational effectiveness amid evolving challenges. This literature review aims to synthesize existing research focusing on the role of psychological safety as a facilitator for strategic discussions and decision-making processes. By critically examining the relationships between psychological safety, team dynamics, and strategic outcomes, the review highlights best practices organizations can adopt to nurture a supportive environment. It will address key questions concerning how leaders can actively foster psychological safety and identify barriers that may hinder it within their teams. The findings of this review are intended to inform both academic inquiry and practical initiatives aimed at enhancing the strategic capabilities of organizations in the dynamic business environment.

Organizations must strategically leverage their resources and demonstrate adaptability to succeed in the complex and competitive business environment. The successful execution of these strategies is critically dependent on human capital, which thrives in a supportive organizational context. Central to

this context is the concept of psychological safety, the assurance that team members can share their ideas and take risks without the fear of negative consequences.

Effective leadership fosters psychological safety and creates an environment that promotes collaboration, innovation, and ongoing learning. This literature review underscores the essential function of psychological safety in enhancing strategy implementation effectiveness, emphasizing that leaders must intentionally cultivate a positive and constructive atmosphere. Such an environment encourages and values employee input, propelling organizational success through effective strategy execution.

Rationale for the Study

The impetus for this research stems from the dynamic landscape of contemporary business, which is increasingly shaped by technological innovation, intensifying competition, and evolving consumer expectations. Organizations are grappling with many challenges, such as global supply chain disruptions, inflationary pressures, sustainability concerns, and the imperative for digital transformation. Considering these complexities, there is a pressing need for strategic frameworks that address such challenges and differentiate organizations within their competitive contexts.

The organization's human capital is crucial for effectively executing these strategies. Employees are integral in operationalizing strategic initiatives and facilitating organizational change through decision-making, behaviors, and mindset. However, for employees to maximize their contributions to strategy implementation, organizations must cultivate a sense of security and foster an environment where open dialogue and collaboration occur without the fear of retribution.

This study investigates the concept of psychological safety and its relationship to strategy implementation. Psychological safety embodies the conviction that individuals can express themselves, err, and take risks without adverse consequences. By probing the interplay between psychological safety and strategic execution, this research aims to yield significant insights into how organizations can bolster their operational effectiveness and adaptability in an increasingly volatile business landscape.

Methodology and Data

The literature review utilized a systematic approach to identify, evaluate, and synthesize existing research on the relationship between psychological safety and strategy implementation. Defining the scope involved articulating specific research questions that guided the literature review. Key questions explored how psychological safety influences organizational innovation, team learning, and strategy implementation processes.

The review drew on multiple academic databases, including JSTOR, Google Scholar, Business Source Complete, and Scopus, to capture a comprehensive array of scholarly articles, case studies, and

relevant literature. A predefined set of keywords, such as "psychological safety," "strategy implementation," "innovation," and "leadership behavior," was employed to refine search results and yield pertinent articles aligned with the research questions.

Clear criteria were established for selecting relevant literature. The inclusion criteria prioritized peer-reviewed articles published within the last decade to ensure that findings reflected contemporary issues. Exclusion criteria removed non-academic sources and studies that did not address the intersection of psychological safety and strategy implementation. A structured data extraction form was utilized to systematically collect key information from the selected studies, including author names, publication year, study design, sample size, key findings, and implications related to psychological safety and strategy execution.

The extracted data underwent qualitative analysis to identify patterns, themes, and gaps within the existing literature. Comparative analysis illuminated contradictions or differing perspectives among the studies. The synthesis sought to provide a cohesive understanding of how psychological safety is conceptualized in the context of strategy implementation.

Through this rigorous methodology, the literature review offers valuable insights into the nexus between psychological safety and organizational strategy, enhancing understanding and informing practice in the contemporary business landscape.

Literature Review

The Psychological Safety Concept

Psychological safety is a belief that one will not be penalized or humiliated for speaking up with ideas, questions, concerns, or mistakes (Edmondson,1999). People feel comfortable asking questions, asking for help, airing their views and concerns, making suggestions, and openly admitting mistakes without fear because the environment allows such participation in the workplace, which makes them willing to contribute to a shared goal (Hunt et al., 2021). Psychological safety finds its early roots in organizational research literature in the 1960s, with MIT professors Edgar Schein and Warren Bennis arguing that one of the key pillars for organizational change is psychological safety, which reduces interpersonal risks by eliminating the uncertainty around change and makes individuals capable of change because they feel secure in doing so (Schein & Bennis, 1965). Through qualitative studies of the summer camp counsellors and employees of an architecture business, William Kahn (1990) revitalized research on psychological safety by demonstrating how psychological safety promotes personal engagement at work. He suggested that people are willing to express themselves instead physically, cognitively, and emotionally rather than disengaging or withdrawing to defend themselves because they feel psychologically safe. Schein (1993) subsequently contended that psychological safety aids in overcoming the defensiveness

or learning anxiety that people experience when information contradicts their goals or expectations. He reasoned that psychological safety allows people to concentrate on group objectives and issue avoidance instead of self-defence.

Research on psychological safety in the workplace has continued to grow in interest. Nembhard and Edmondson (2006) underscored the significance of psychological safety in healthcare environments, noting that it fosters an atmosphere where team members feel at ease expressing their thoughts, offering suggestions, and respectfully challenging others' viewpoints. Edmondson and Lei (2014) explained that psychological safety is not a broad personality trait but a situation-specific experience in which individuals feel empowered to articulate relevant thoughts and feelings without fearing adverse outcomes. Frazier et al. (2017) defined psychological safety as a shared belief within a team that encourages interpersonal risk-taking, creating an environment where team members support one another, enabling individuals to voice their opinions and make errors without apprehension of negative repercussions. Newman et al. (2017) depicted psychological safety as a climate where individuals feel comfortable expressing their authentic selves. They emphasized that psychological safety is a collective perception held by team members.

A recurring feature in psychological safety research across several decades and analytical levels is that it encourages willing participation in shared endeavours through exchanging ideas. Psychological safety explains why employees freely share ideas and information (Collins & Smith, 2006), allows organizations to learn (Bunderson & Boumgarden, 2010), and provides an environment for innovation (Elsayed et al., 2023). The combined importance of teamwork and knowledge economies has made psychological safety a critical topic since these two trends are anchored on information sharing and collaboration to achieve common goals (Edmondson & Lei, 2014).

It is an environment where new ideas are respected and welcomed rather than criticized (Edmondson & Lei,2014). Colleagues will not attempt to embarrass or penalize someone for expressing a different viewpoint or judge someone negatively for admitting a lack of understanding. Psychological safety encourages employees to openly communicate, express their concerns, and seek feedback, despite the interpersonal risks involved (Pearsall & Ellis, 2011). This, in turn, has been shown to impact various workplace outcomes, such as learning and performance, across different levels of analysis (Edmondson & Lei, 2014).

The Impact of Psychological Safety on Organizations

Psychological safety is pivotal in fostering an environment conducive to open communication, innovation, and effective collaboration (Edmondson, 1999). This notion is essential, especially in complex and dynamic organizational settings, where individuals must feel secure enough to express

diverse perspectives without fear of negative repercussions. By creating such an environment, organizations can enhance creativity and problem-solving capabilities, as employees are more likely to contribute their insights and challenge assumptions, ultimately driving strategy implementation forward. A lack of psychological safety may lead to silencing dissenting voices, which can stifle growth and adaptation during critical moments of organizational change (Edmondson & Lei, 2014; Duhigg, 2016).

A psychologically safe workspace encourages risk-taking and innovation, which are crucial for successfully implementing strategic initiatives. Teams that operate under such conditions demonstrate higher levels of engagement and are more willing to embrace change (Edmondson, 1999; Kahn, 1990). Consequently, organizations that prioritize psychological safety can expect improved performance and greater alignment with strategic goals (Hirak et al., 2012; Nembhard & Edmondson, 2006). Trust and respect foster an atmosphere where team members support one another, leading to better collaboration when devising and executing strategies (Lencioni, 2002; Gratton & Erickson, 2007). As a result, cultivating psychological safety should be viewed as a strategic imperative for leaders who seek to navigate the complexities of strategy implementation effectively (Edmondson & Lei, 2014; Duhigg, 2016).

The Relationship Between Psychological Safety and Strategy Implementation

Strategy implementation involves converting strategic plans into actionable steps and the effective execution of these steps (Hrebiniak, 2006; Cater & Pucko, 2010). The effective implementation of strategic initiatives is a crucial determinant of an organization's ability to achieve long-term objectives and realize its vision. This process necessitates the translation of strategic frameworks into actionable tasks, requiring cohesive alignment across diverse departments and teams. A critical component in enhancing the efficacy of strategy execution is the integration of psychological safety within the organizational culture. Employees who experience psychological safety are more inclined to contribute innovative ideas, provide constructive feedback, and communicate concerns without fearing repercussions. This environment of open dialogue fosters trust and collaboration—essential elements for propelling strategic initiatives forward (Duhigg, 2016; Gratton & Erickson, 2021). Thus, cultivating psychological safety is not merely an advantageous enhancement but rather a foundational pillar essential for effective strategy execution, enabling employees to actively engage in operationalizing strategic plans and ultimately leading to improved organizational performance (Edmondson et al., 2016; Nembhard & Edmondson, 2006).

Psychological safety establishes an environment where employees feel valued, respected, and capable of contributing without fear of reprisal. When employees feel psychologically safe, they are more likely to be engaged and dedicated to their work and the organization's objectives, including efforts to implement strategies (Agarwal & Mehta, 2020; Frazier et al., 2017). Engaged and committed employees

are inclined to go above and beyond, take ownership of their responsibilities, and actively engage in strategy implementation endeavors. They are deeply invested in the organization's success and are more likely to align their actions with the strategic goals. Additionally, psychological safety can foster a sense of belonging and strengthen employee commitment (Edmondson, 2019). Employees who are committed are more likely to channel their efforts into practices that promote successful strategy implementation.

Successful strategy implementation often relies on continuous learning, experimentation, and the ability to adapt to changing circumstances or unexpected challenges. Psychological safety establishes an environment where employees can take risks, voice concerns, and suggest improvements without fearing ridicule or punishment (Edmondson, 2019; Frazier et al., 2017). The significance of psychological safety extends to mitigating challenges associated with strategic execution, primarily by nurturing a culture of learning and adaptability. When team members are encouraged to discuss failures and obstacles transparently, without fear of negative repercussions, organizations can conduct more thorough analyses of effective strategies and those requiring recalibration. When employees feel psychologically safe, they are more likely to engage in candid discussions about strategic initiatives. This collaborative mindset encourages innovation and creativity, empowering employees to propose solutions that align with organizational goals. Research strongly correlates psychological safety with positive team dynamics, highlighting that teams characterized by this safety perform better and are more adaptable in the face of strategic shifts.

As organizations navigate an ever-evolving business landscape, cultivating psychological safety becomes imperative for successfully implementing new strategies, ensuring that diverse perspectives contribute to decision-making processes (Derdowski et al., 2022). The literature demonstrates that environments lacking psychological safety typically suppress divergent viewpoints, fostering a culture of compliance rather than innovation. In this regard, the implementation of practices aimed at enhancing psychological safety—such as regular feedback mechanisms, mentorship initiatives, and structured team dialogues—can facilitate a more agile and responsive approach to the dynamic challenges inherent in strategy execution (Edmondson & Duhigg, 2022; Gratton & Erickson, 2021).

Psychological Safety, Strategy Implementation, and Innovation

The VUCA environment requires businesses to respond differently from the traditional way of renewing or reviewing long-term plans every five years because of the challenges and rapid changes. For businesses to succeed in this environment, they must constantly adapt and evolve in line with environmental changes (Eisenhardt & Martin, 2000); otherwise, they risk becoming obsolete. This calls for businesses to constantly monitor environmental trends, continuously interrogate their assumptions,

reconfigure their resource allocations, and adjust their strategies in line with the prevailing situation (Tushman & O'Reilly, 2007).

Successful strategy implementation is contingent upon innovation. Innovation is critical to successful strategy implementation as this means the organization can adapt to the dynamic business environment, navigate the highly competitive operating space, and address evolving customer demands (AlEssa & Durugbo, 2021). Through innovation, organizations can develop new products and services, processes, and models to help them achieve their strategic objectives. A study by McKinsey & Company in 2015 found that successful strategy implementation is more likely for companies that prioritize innovation, and a strong focus on innovation is more likely to result in higher revenue growth, profitability, and market share than in the absence of innovation (McKinsey & Company, 2015). The study by the Boston Consulting Group in 2018 weighed in with findings that companies that invest in innovation are more likely to outperform their competitors and achieve long-term success, which sustains their competitive advantage and drives sustainable growth (Boston Consulting Group, 2018). Organizations, therefore, need to encourage their employees to innovate because organizations themselves do not innovate, but people do (Swayer, 2012).

Innovation involves engaging in risky behaviour to create unique ideas by challenging the prevailing system, methods, and status quo (Agarwal &Farndale, 2017). While innovation may succeed and produce positive results, there is a risk of failure and significant consequences. Employees, however, need to be willing to take risks, persevere, adapt, and learn from failures and successes. There is a need for a culture and climate that encourage and promote innovation within the organization. In her book The Fearless Organization, Edmondson highlights that psychological safety cultivates an environment of openness, trust, and curiosity, which allows employees to challenge assumptions, experiment with new ideas, share their opinions, and admit their errors without fear of attracting humiliation or negative consequences (Edmondson, 2019). Employees are willing to explore new ideas, express their thoughts, and contribute without fear of criticism or repercussions. Psychological safety promotes risk-taking, fostering innovation (Agarwal & Farndale, 2017). Without fear, employees freely explore ideas and provide solutions that will be used in strategy implementation.

Psychological Safety, Strategy Implementation, and Learning

Organizations must stay ahead of the competition and adapt to the rapidly changing environment through learning, which brings agility and resilience. The environment continues evolving while globalization and technological advancements have created a new competitive landscape. The customers, too, have new demands and changing expectations. Organizations must understand these dynamics and respond to them, requiring continuous learning. John Dewey, an educational philosopher, is credited with helping to conceptualize learning as a process. His initial writings on inquiry and

reflection (Dewey, 1922) have had a significant impact on later learning theorists, such as Kolb (1984) and Schön (1983). Dewey (1922) viewed learning as an iterative process of designing, carrying out, reflecting upon, and adjusting activities. Crossan et al. (1999) highlighted the importance of learning in achieving successful strategy implementation.

The strategic planning process follows assumptions about the internal and external environments, and strategy implementation aims at bridging the gap between the assumptions and reality through experimentation, feedback, and adjustment. Organizations adjust, adapt, and refine their strategies because strategy implementation is not linear. The capabilities required to execute strategies can be developed through learning. Senge (1990), in his book, The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization, argues that organizations that encourage learning through sharing their knowledge and experiences are better positioned to succeed.

Learning suggests that employees step out of their comfort zones, experiment, and explore. This requires confidence to actively seek out new ideas, admit mistakes, seek help, ask questions, and improve (Edmondson, 2019) without being harshly judged. Such confidence is derived from psychological safety. Psychological safety describes team members' common conviction that interpersonal risk-taking is safe in a team setting. Team members who feel safe are more likely to learn from mistakes instead of trying to avoid them due to fear because they freely experiment with new ideas and take time to reflect on their experiences through feedback (Edmondson, 1999). Carmeli et al. (2010) found that psychological safety is positively related to creativity and innovation, which results in individual and organizational growth. Edmondson's (2019) research indicates that teams with a robust sense of psychological safety are inclined toward learning and adapting to change, a viewpoint supported by Gargantini et al. (2022). Furthermore, Kim et al. (2020) emphasize learning as a mediator in enhancing organizational efficiency and psychological safety.

Timothy Clarke (2020), in his book "The Four Stages of Psychological Safety: Defining the Path to Inclusion and Innovation, suggests that learner and contributor safety is a key context of psychological safety. Through learning, team members gain more confidence and the competencies to take risks and give feedback. Psychological safety creates an environment where individuals can exchange ideas, expertise, and viewpoints, leading to collaboration and cohesion within the team. Individuals can learn from each other, which strengthens the team, and competencies are built by such knowledge exchange (Katzenbach & Smith, 2015). Learning from successes and failures is important for refining the strategy, adopting positive results, and avoiding mistakes in the future.

Psychological Safety, Strategy Implementation, and Inclusion

Innovation and learning are anchored in diversity and inclusion. Businesses are increasingly deliberately considering diversity when employing people because of the benefits associated with diversity, including improved decision-making, innovation, creativity, increased adaptability and resilience, talent pool, ethical considerations, and corporate social responsibility. Understanding different markets and relating to diverse customer needs, especially for multinationals or companies dealing with a broad spectrum of clients, brand reputation, and legal or regulatory compliance, weighs in on creating a diverse workforce. Even when trying to create a uniform team, there is always some form of diversity, which means that team members' views will vary. Inclusion means that each team member's different perspectives and contributions are given attention and considered. This makes employees feel valued, respected and accepted (Nishii & Mayer, 2009).

The role of inclusion in strategy implementation is critical to ensuring that employees feel their contributions, skills, and expertise directed at strategy implementation are valued. This makes employees feel motivated, engaged, and committed to directing their efforts to ensure the organizational strategy succeeds (Shore et al., 2011). There is a strong link between inclusion and psychological safety, and these two constructs contribute to creating a positive environment, as demonstrated in the study by Caruso and Wooley (2008). According to Timothy Clarke (2020), inclusion is a critical initial stage in psychological safety as it makes team members feel that regardless of who they are (gender, religion, social background, or any other difference), they are comfortable knowing they are accepted, appreciated and valued as part of the team. People with a sense of belonging are more comfortable experimenting, freely expressing themselves, and taking risks (Haslam et al., 2009). Through the sense of belonging created by inclusion, the diverse viewpoints that different team members share freely allow for a better understanding of the problems and challenges. Psychological safety creates an environment where sharing unique perspectives brings innovation, solutions, and ideas for successful strategy implementation. When team members are allowed to contribute, this brings diversity in ideas. Diverse viewpoints are key to innovation and change (Edmondson, 1999).

In an organization where different backgrounds, experiences, and expertise are pooled together, the uniqueness of the diverse teams brings a broader range of perspectives, understandings, and solutions because everyone uniquely understands the challenge. Different solutions can be harnessed through unique knowledge and cognitive diversity, and better decisions can be made for successful strategy implementation.

Psychological Safety, Strategy Implementation, and Leadership

The bridge between strategy formulation and implementation is underpinned by leadership. The leader has a role in providing a compelling vision that infuses energy into everyone in the team. Leaders are responsible for crafting and communicating the vision and direction and translating the strategic plan into simple action items (Hitt et al., 2007). Cascading the strategy to the rest of the team requires effective communication for a clear appreciation of each team member's roles, buy-in, and alignment (Chapman et al., 2002). The energy to implement the strategy is drawn from the leadership. Leaders further play a critical role in resource allocation and strategic prioritization of projects (Mattis et al., 2001).

Research has shown a positive association between various leadership aspects and psychological safety, suggesting that where the leaders prioritize psychological safety, the teams are inspired, empowered, and more engaged to contribute positively to the organization's success (Edmondson & Lei, 2014). Leaders are responsible for creating a positive environment of trust and ownership that inspires team members to work towards successfully implementing the strategy (Vadim, 2004). Such an environment should allow for risk-taking, experimentation, continuous learning, and adaptation to change (Edmondson, 1999), which are crucial in strategy implementation through innovation, learning, and inclusion.

Leaders are instrumental in creating a psychologically safe environment for their teams through their behaviours and values, including support, inclusion, and empowerment (Newman et al., 2017). Central to psychological safety is an environment where open communication is encouraged. Employees who feel comfortable communicating freely can openly contribute their ideas without fear of reprisal. When information is shared openly and transparently, this fosters a sense of responsibility and builds trust. Leaders who are open about their limitations and knowledge gaps demonstrate their humility while encouraging employees to be truthful about their challenges and shortcomings (George et al., 2007), creating an environment of open communication that results in innovation and learning. Employees can easily open up, ask for help, and learn from others without worrying about being viewed negatively because the leaders openly demonstrate acceptance.

Besides showing their vulnerability, the leader should listen actively. This means that communication should be a two-way system that allows the employees to give feedback and bring in their thoughts and ideas on operationalizing and successfully implementing the strategy. Listening actively involves paying attention to underlying concerns, emotions, and body language (Stone et al., 2000). Leadership behaviour supporting psychological safety includes consultative, supportive, and challenging leadership. Consultative leadership emphasizes team building, inclusivity, and collaboration as leaders actively seek input from all team members to drive strategic input and inform strategic decisions. The involvement of

all team members makes them feel valued, brings a sense of belonging and ownership, and ultimately demonstrates a commitment to ensuring the organization succeeds (Deci et al., 2000).

Supportive leadership is essential in creating a positive working environment through empathy and emotional support, which results in higher engagement levels, increased motivation, and increased productivity (Schaufeli et al., 2004). According to Edmondson (2019), supportive leaders create a psychologically safe environment where team members feel challenged to deliver and are given the support they need to succeed by engaging and motivating their team members. Therefore, it is incumbent upon the leader to create a conducive environment of inclusivity and learning to support innovation, which is critical for successful strategy implementation and anchored on psychological safety.

Summary of the Findings

Exploring psychological safety in strategy implementation reveals critical insights into organizational dynamics. The systematic literature review highlights that psychological safety is a foundation for robust strategy analysis, formulation, and execution. Key findings indicate that organizations lacking a psychologically safe environment may struggle with effective strategy deployment due to elevated employee apprehension about idea-sharing and risk-taking. This affects their ability to align their values, vision, and mission, which are essential for strategic direction. The literature consolidation emphasizes the need for leaders to foster a culture where employees feel secure enough to express their thoughts freely, facilitating a collective approach to strategy implementation encompassing diverse perspectives and insights.

The review underscores the interconnectedness between individual behavior and overall organizational strategy. Findings suggest that employee engagement increases significantly when psychological safety is prioritized, enhancing strategic outcomes. For instance, studies show that a supportive atmosphere correlates with heightened innovation and creativity, proving vital for adapting to changing market conditions. Incorporating psychological safety principles into strategic frameworks fosters deeper understanding among team members regarding their roles and contributions, which is essential for executing complex strategies effectively. This delineation of psychological safety provides pathways for organizations to rethink their strategic approaches by integrating behavioral science into their operational ethos.

Literature suggests that psychological safety is important in addressing challenges encountered during strategy implementation. Systemic support frameworks can mitigate adverse outcomes associated with strategic failures, whether related to financial transparency in organizations or social dynamics in educational settings. For instance, studies on accounting information systems within religious

institutions demonstrate the potential to improve accountability and operational efficiency through measures that promote psychological safety. This concept is also reflected in educational settings, where psychological support can help minimize conflicts and foster collaboration. Thus, aligning leadership strategies with psychological safety tackles immediate challenges and supports long-term strategic success by building resilience, accountability, and a collective commitment to overarching goals.

Successful strategic implementation is fundamentally anchored in innovation, which is vital for businesses navigating the complexities of competitive landscapes. By leveraging innovation, organizations can effectively address customers' growing demands and remain agile despite rapid technological advancements while striving to achieve their strategic objectives. Innovation is not merely a goal but a necessity for companies that aspire to maintain relevance in their industries and achieve sustainable growth and profitability. Organizations must empower employees to take risks, explore new ideas, and challenge the status quo to cultivate a culture of innovation. This requires creating an environment where team members understand that mistakes, rather than being viewed as failures, serve as valuable learning opportunities. Leadership's support in fostering this mindset is crucial, as it helps to alleviate fear and encourages a proactive approach to experimentation.

When employees are encouraged to engage in trial and error and embrace creative ideas, they will likely cultivate a learning-oriented mindset. This leads to a greater propensity to seek help, ask questions, and share insights, ultimately driving the organization toward success. Innovative ideas stemming from a continuous improvement culture can provide a competitive advantage, positioning the organization ahead of its rivals. Companies prioritizing learning and development typically outperform their competitors because they fully harness their workforce's diverse skills and perspectives.

Employee engagement in the strategic implementation process is vital. Those who feel valued and included are more inclined to contribute their ideas and perspectives freely. This sense of inclusion nurtures innovation and promotes a robust exchange of ideas that enhances decision-making processes. As a result, organizations can execute their strategies more effectively and efficiently.

At the heart of successful strategy implementation lies a conducive organizational environment that encourages open dialogue and the sharing of diverse viewpoints. Leadership plays a pivotal role in creating this climate; therefore, leaders must establish a psychologically safe and inclusive atmosphere that promotes learning and innovation. Demonstrating inclusivity, providing resources and support, and fostering open communication are key leadership qualities that inspire teams to learn, innovate, and effectively bring the organization's strategic vision to fruition. When leaders commit to these principles, they enhance team morale and drive sustainable growth and long-term success for the organization. . By leveraging innovation, organizations can effectively address the growing demands of customers and

remain agile in the face of rapid technological advancements, all while striving to achieve their strategic objectives.

Research has shown a positive association between various leadership aspects and psychological safety, suggesting that where leaders prioritize psychological safety, teams are inspired, empowered, and more engaged to contribute positively to the organization's success. Leaders are responsible for creating a positive environment of trust and ownership that inspires team members to work towards successfully implementing the strategy. Such an environment should allow for risk-taking, experimentation, continuous learning, and adaptation to change, all of which are crucial in strategy implementation through innovation, learning, and inclusion.

Leaders are instrumental in creating a psychologically safe environment for their teams through their behaviors and values, including support, inclusion, and empowerment. Central to psychological safety is an environment where open communication is encouraged. Employees who feel comfortable communicating freely can openly contribute their ideas without fear of reprisal. When information is shared openly and transparently, this fosters a sense of responsibility and builds trust. Leaders who are open about their limitations and knowledge gaps demonstrate their humility while encouraging employees to be truthful about their challenges and shortcomings, creating an environment of open communication that results in innovation and learning. Employees can easily open up, ask for help, and learn from others without worrying about being viewed negatively because the leaders openly demonstrate acceptance.

Besides showing their vulnerability, the leader should listen actively. This means that communication should be a two-way system that allows the employees to give feedback and bring in their thoughts and ideas on operationalizing and successfully implementing the strategy. Listening actively involves paying attention to underlying concerns, emotions, and body language. Leadership behavior supporting psychological safety includes consultative, supportive, and challenging leadership. Consultative leadership emphasizes team building, inclusivity, and collaboration as leaders actively seek input from all team members to drive strategic input and inform strategic decisions. The involvement of all team members makes them feel valued, brings a sense of belonging and ownership, and ultimately demonstrates a commitment to ensuring the organization succeeds.

Supportive leadership is essential in creating a positive working environment through empathy and emotional support, which results in higher engagement levels, increased motivation, and increased productivity. By engaging and motivating their team members, supportive leaders create a psychologically safe environment where team members feel challenged to deliver and are given the support they need to succeed. Therefore, it is incumbent upon the leader to create a conducive

environment of inclusivity and learning to support innovation, which is critical for successful strategy implementation and anchored on psychological safety.

Conclusion

Psychological safety is vital in strategy implementation as it provides the right environment for innovation, learning, and inclusion through effective leadership. The rapidly changing, uncertain, and competitive business environment constantly requires business strategies that are adaptive and agile in response to customer needs and demand, and innovation is crucial to remaining ahead of the competition. Without effective and successful strategy implementation, brilliant business strategies will not make any difference. Strategy implementation is a function of the people in the organization who translate the strategy from paper to action through innovative ideas and solutions. Bringing in new ideas that will keep the organization ahead of the competition means that risks need to be taken and mistakes may be made in the process, but in the same vein, people learn what works and what does not and keep improving and learning. Psychological safety creates an environment that allows people to take risks, explore new ideas, and challenge existing ones as they seek ways to achieve strategic goals. Psychological safety facilitates continuous learning through reflection, experimentation, and problem-solving. Diversity in the workplace allows for a wider pool of ideas, and inclusion harnesses these views, resulting in better decision-making and innovation. Psychological safety is instrumental in providing an inclusive environment that values everyone's contribution and collaboration. Leaders create psychological safety through their values and behaviours. Leaders empower the team, provide support, and influence, creating a safe environment. Leaders demonstrate that experimentation and risk-taking will not attract punishment but encourage learning, asking questions, and seeking help. Leaders also create platforms where contributions from everyone are considered and given attention, showing they value the people in the organization. The potential in people can be harnessed effectively in a psychologically safe environment, which will drive effective strategy implementation for businesses and give them a competitive advantage and success.

Practical Implications for Organizations

Organizations incorporating psychological safety into their strategic frameworks can anticipate many practical advantages. By prioritizing psychological safety, companies foster increased employee engagement, creating an environment where individuals feel valued and included. This sense of inclusivity encourages a robust exchange of ideas, enhances decision-making processes, and facilitates the effective execution of strategies.

Psychological safety is a key driver of increased innovation within an organization. When employees are encouraged to take risks, explore new ideas, and challenge established norms, they are more likely

to engage in creative problem-solving. This innovative mindset is essential for adapting to rapidly evolving market conditions and maintaining a competitive edge.

Psychological safety is vital for cultivating a learning-oriented culture. In such an environment, employees feel comfortable seeking assistance, asking questions, and sharing insights without fear of repercussions. This openness accelerates the organization's ability to learn from mistakes and continuously improve, providing a competitive advantage over rivals that may be slower to adapt.

Psychological safety enhances accountability and operational efficiency. Transparent communication and a supportive atmosphere empower employees to take ownership of their roles and contributions, fostering greater responsibility and trust within the team. For instance, research on accounting information systems in religious institutions has demonstrated that promoting psychological safety can significantly enhance accountability and operational efficiency.

Leadership plays a critical role in nurturing psychological safety. Leaders who exemplify inclusivity, offer resources and support, and encourage open communication to create a climate where team members feel comfortable expressing their thoughts and ideas. Such an environment boosts team morale and drives the organization's sustainable growth and long-term success. Additionally, leaders must actively listen to their employees, paying attention to underlying concerns, emotions, and body language, ensuring that a two-way communication system bolsters psychological safety.

Recommendations for Future Research

Psychological safety and its role in organizations is an area that has been increasingly receiving attention over the years, with significant research in the health and medical field. Research has focused on facilitators, barriers, and benefits of psychological safety. While the literature review has shown a relationship between psychological safety and effective strategy implementation, causality has not empirically been measured, and the evidence base is unexplored. The association between psychological safety and innovation, learning, inclusion, and leadership with effective strategy implementation may be ascertained using longitudinal data to show the causal relationship between these constructs. Research may look at quantitatively quantifying psychological safety's impact on effective strategy implementation, developing metrics assessing the levels of psychological safety in an organization, and correlating these to various constructs of effective strategy implementation.

The general impact of psychological safety has been explored in the mining industry and health sector, however and there is potential for further studies on other industries with specific attention to how psychological safety affects strategy implementation and whether psychological safety is more critical for strategy implementation in some industries and which constructs play a more critical role in those

specific industry sectors for example, would psychological safety influence innovation in one industry sector more than the other, leading to more effective strategy implementation.

Businesses operate in a dynamic and technologically driven environment, and the role of technology in fostering psychological safety and improving strategy implementation success may be explored. The use of collaborative tools, online communication platforms, and even anonymous feedback may increase psychological safety in organizations, and these may be leveraged for innovation, learning, and inclusion.

Psychological safety may have possible drawbacks that negatively impact effective strategy implementation, and an investigation of these will help organizations strike a balance when implementing psychological safety. For example, excessive risk-taking may have potential drawbacks to effective strategy implementation.

References

AlEssa H. S., Durugbo C. M. (2021). Systematic review of innovative work behaviour concepts and contributions. *Management Review* Quarterly. doi:10.1007/s11301-021-00224-x (Links to an external site.).

Agarwal P., Farndale, E. (2017). High-performance work systems and creativity implementation: The role of psychological capital and psychological safety. *Human Resource Management Journal, 27*(3), 440–458. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12148 (Links to an external site.).

Agarwal, P., & Mehta, S. (2020). Psychological safety and its impact on knowledge sharing and innovation in software companies. *International Journal of Knowledge Management, 16(2), 1-18.* https://doi.org/10.4018/IJKM.2020040101

Bhandari, K. R., Zámborský, P., Ranta, M., & Salo, J. (2023). Digitalization, internationalization, and firm performance: A resource-orchestration perspective on new OLI advantages. International Business Review, 32(4), 102135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2023.102135

Boston Consulting Group. (2018). "The most innovative companies 2018." Retrieved from: https://www.bcg.com/publications/2018/most-innovative-companies-2018.aspx (Links to an external site.).

Bunderson J. S., Boumgarden P. (2010). Structure and learning in self-managed teams: Why "bureaucratic" teams can be better learners. Organization Science, 21(3), 609–624 (Links to an external site.)

Carmeli A., Reiter-Palmon R., Ziv E. (2010). Inclusive leadership and employee involvement in creative tasks in the workplace: The mediating role of psychological safety. *Creativity Research Journal, 22(3), 250–260 (Links to an external site.)*.

Čater, T & Pučko D. (2010). Factors of effective strategy implementation: Empirical evidence from Slovenian business practice. *Journal for East European Management Studies. 15. 207-236*. DOI:10.2307/23281679

Chapman A., McDonald P., Steen J. (2002). The role of leadership in strategy management: A meso study. *British Journal of Management, 13*(S1), 43-64.

Chen S, Chang Y, Lai Y. (2019). Strategic ambiguity, employee behaviors, and organizational performance. *Journal of Business Research.* 2019; 96:238-249.

Clark T. R. (2020). The 4 Stages of Psychological Safety: Defining the Path to Inclusion and Innovation. Berrett-Koehler Publishers (Links to an external site.).

Collins C. J., Smith K. G. (2006). Knowledge exchange and combination: The role of human resource practices in the performance of high-technology firms. *Academy of Management Journal, 49*(3), 544-560.

Consumer Electronics Advertising Agency Digital Marketing - AdvertiseMint. https://www.advertisemint.com/consumer-electronics-advertising-agency-digital-marketing/

Crossan M., Lane H., White R. (1999). An organizational learning framework: From intuition to institution. *Academy of Management Review, 24*(3), 522-537.

Caruso H.M., Williams Woolley A. (2008). Harnessing the power of emergent interdependence to promote diverse team collaboration. In K.W. Phillips (Ed.), Diversity and Groups (Research on Managing Groups and Teams, Vol. 11, pp. 245-266). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-0856(08)11011-8 (Links to an external site.).

Deci E. L., Ryan R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01 (Links to an external site.).

Dewey J. (1922). Human Nature and Conduct. New York: Holt.

Derdowski, Lukasz Andrzej, Mathisen, Gro Ellen (2022) Psychosocial factors and safety in high-risk industries: a systematic literature review. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/560366572.pdf

Duhigg.C. (2016) "What Google Learned From Its Quest to Build the Perfect Team." http://www.nytimes.com/2016/02/28/magazine/what-google-learned-from-its-quest-to-build-the-perfect-team.html

Edmondson A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383. (Links to an external site.)

Edmondson A. C., Lei Z. (2014). Psychological safety: The history, renaissance, and future of an interpersonal construct. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior ,1*,23-43.

Edmondson, A. C., Higgins, M., Singer, S., & Weiner, J. (2016). Understanding psychological safety in health care and education organizations: A comparative perspective. *Research in Human Development, 13*(1), 65–83. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427609.2016.1141280

Elsayed A. M., Zhao B., Goda A. E., Elsetouh A. M. (2023). The role of error risk taking and perceived organizational innovative climate in the relationship between perceived psychological safety and innovative work behaviour: A moderated mediation model. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*, 1042911. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1042911

Frazier, M. L., Fainshmidt, S., Klinger, R. L., Pezeshkan, A., & Vracheva, V. (2017). Psychological safety: A meta-analytic review and extension. *Personnel Psychology, 70(1), 113-165*. https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12

Gargantini, T., Daly, M., Sherlock, J., Lazebnik, T., & Lazebnik, T. (2022). Providing Safe Space for Honest Mistakes in the Public Sector Is the Most Important Predictor for Work Engagement after Strategic Clarity. *Sustainability, 14*(12), 7051.

Gratton, L. & Erickson, T. J. (2007). Eight ways to build collaborative teams. Harvard Business Review, 85(11), 100-109.

Gube, M., & Hennelly, D. S. (2022, August 25). Resilient organizations make psychological safety a strategic priority. Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/2022/08/resilient-organizations-make-psychological-safety-a-strategic-priority

George B., Sims P., McLean A. N., Mayer, D. (2007). Discovering your authentic leadership. Harvard Business Review.

Haque, A. (2023), "The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on employee motivation and organisational resilience and the role of strategic HRM: renewal of a resource-based conceptual model", *Management Matters*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 117-133. https://doi.org/10.1108/MANM-02-2022-0022

Harrison J., Bosse Phillips. (2007). Stakeholder Theory and Competitive Advantage, Academy of Management Proceedings, 7.

Haslam S. A., Jetten T. C., Cruwys N., Steffens M. C. (2009). Introducing the social identity model of deindividuation. *European Review of Social Psychology, 20*(6), 417-453.

Hitt M. A., Ireland R. D., Hoskisson R. E. (2007). Strategic management: Competitiveness and globalization (8th ed.). Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.

Hunt D. F., Bailey J., Lennox B. R., Croft M., Vincent C. (2021). Enhancing psychological safety in mental health services. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems, 15*, 33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-021-00439-1 (Links to an external site.).

Journal of Management Studies. (2014). "Employee engagement: A review of current research and its implications." Retrieved from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/joms.12083 (Links to an external site.).

Kahn W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal, 33*, 692–724.

Katzenbach J. R., Smith D. K. (2015). The wisdom of teams: Creating the highest performing organizations. HarperCollins.

Kim S., Lee H., Connerton T. P. (2020). How psychological safety affects team performance: Mediating role of efficacy and learning behavior. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 1581. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01581 (Links to an external site.).

Kolb D. A. (1984). Experiential learning. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Mattis T. L., McMillan J. J., Christopher W. G. (2001). Declining strategic flexibility and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal, 22*(3), 221-232.

McKinsey & Company. (2015). "The eight essentials of innovation." Retrieved from: https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/the-eight-essentials-of-innovation (Links to an external site.).

McKinsey & Company. (2016). "The eight essentials of innovation." Retrieved from: https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/the-eight-essentials-of-innovation (Links to an external site.).

Martínez-Córcoles, M., & Zhu, X. (2020). Leading Proactivity in Innovative Startups: A Moderated Mediation Model. *Sustainability, 12*(23), 9878.

Nembhard, I. M., & Edmondson, A. C. (2006). Making it safe: The effects of leader inclusiveness and professional status on psychological safety and improvement efforts in health care teams. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 27(7),* 941-966. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1002/job.413

Newman A., Donohue R., Eva N. (2017). Psychological safety: A systematic review of the literature. *Human Resource Management Review,* 27(3), 521–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2017.01.001 (Links to an external site.).

Nishii L. H., Mayer D. M. (2009). Do inclusive leaders help to reduce turnover in diverse groups? The moderating role of leader-member exchange in the diversity to turnover relationship. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 94*(6), 1412-1426.

O'Reilly C. A., Tushman M. L. (2013). Organizational ambidexterity: Past, present, and future. Academy of Management Perspectives, 27(4), 324-338.

Rasshyvalov, D., Portnov, Y., Sigaieva, T., Alboshchii, O., & Rozumnyi, O. (2024). Navigating geopolitical risks: Implications for global supply chain management. Multidisciplinary Reviews, 7, DOI:10.31893/multirev.2024spe017

Sawyer R. K. (2012). Explaining Creativity: The Science of Human Innovation. New York: University Press. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1379(199907)20:4<527:AIDJOB886>3.0.CO;2-G.

Schaufeli W. B., Bakker A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 25*(3), 293–315. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.248 (Links to an external site.).

Schein E. H., Bennis W. (1965). Personal and Organizational Change Through Group Methods. New York, NY: Wiley.

Schein E. H. (1993). How can organizations learn faster? The challenge of entering the green room. *Sloan Management Review, 34*(2), 85–92.

Schön D. (1983). The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action. New York: Basic Books.

Senge P. M. (1990). The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization. https://www.amazon.com/Fifth-Discipline-Practice-Learning-Organization-ebook/dp/B000SEIFKK (Links to an external site.).

Shore L. M., Randel A. E., Chung B. G., Dean M. A., Holcombe Ehrhart K., Singh, G. (2011). Inclusion and diversity in work groups: A review and model for future research. *Journal of Management, 37*(4), 1262–1289. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310385943 (Links to an external site.).

Tushman M. L., O'Reilly, C. A. (2007). Ambidexterity as a dynamic capability: Resolving the innovator's dilemma. In B. Staw & R. Sutton (Eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior, 27*, 185-206. Elsevier.

Vadim C. (2004). The leader's role in fostering creativity and innovation. *Journal of Management Development, 23*(8), 787-800.