Corporate Governance Practices and Their Influence on Municipal Service Delivery: A Case Study of Gauteng Province, South Africa

Authors:

Nyashadzashe Chiwawa (nyasha263@yahoo.com)
University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa

Dominique Emmanuel Uwizeyimana (dominiqueu@uj.ac.za)
University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa

Abstract:

Municipalities in Gauteng Province, South Africa, face critical challenges in delivering essential public services, partly due to varied corporate governance practices that shape oversight, accountability, and leadership ethics. Recognising the growing calls for transparency and efficient resource management, this study investigates how municipal governance frameworks influence service outcomes in diverse local contexts. The paper's main objective is to explore the dynamic interplay between legislative mandates, political pressures, and administrative capacity that together determine public service performance. Using a qualitative, interpretivist approach, data were collected through 30 semi-structured interviews with municipal managers, councillors, oversight committee members, community representatives, and via focus group discussions with local residents. Document analysis supported triangulation, providing a perspective on real-world governance realities. Findings reveal gaps between formal policy requirements and practical implementation, with political interference undermining legislative compliance and ethical leadership. Although statutory bodies exist to enhance accountability, limited training and inconsistent enforcement hamper their effectiveness. At the same time, inclusive community engagement remains sporadic, undermining the legitimacy of policy decisions. These insights have significant implications for governance reform in Gauteng and beyond, underscoring the necessity of integrated legislative oversight, capacity building for accountability actors, and robust ethical leadership norms. Ultimately, bridging the gap between policy and practice demands a holistic approach that merges engagement, professional independence of oversight committees, and targeted leadership training. By doing so, municipalities can better fulfil their mandates, delivering reliable public services for local communities and bolstering institutional credibility while ensuring sustainable development and resilience across the province and broader region.

Introduction

Corporate governance in municipalities, particularly within Gauteng Province, stands at the nexus of accountability, transparency, and community empowerment. It encompasses a complex system of policies and procedures designed to regulate decision-making processes, monitor resource distribution, and uphold ethical standards in local government structures. Notwithstanding these intentions, recent discourse points to recurrent lapses in adherence to governance frameworks, resulting in mismanagement of public funds and inadequate service delivery (Auditor-General South Africa [AGSA], 2021). Within Gauteng municipalities, such inefficiencies are increasingly scrutinized for their contribution to persistently poor public services, prompting critical questions regarding the internal mechanisms intended to safeguard stakeholder interests (Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs [CoGTA], 2020). Scholars highlight that a sound corporate governance culture demands transparent financial reporting, effective risk management, and accountable leadership at every tier of local government (Mle & Maclean, 2020). Yet, evidence from contemporary governance reviews reveals stark inconsistencies between stated standards and actual practices, wherein executive councils often fail to enforce established principles (AGSA, 2021). While legislative frameworks like the Municipal Finance Management Act aim to elevate accountability, the persistent shortfalls indicate gaps between policy formulation and its implementation on the ground (National Treasury, 2022).

This paradox underscores the delicate balance municipalities must strike between ethical mandates and political pressures that often hinder sustainable reforms. Local communities, who are the primary recipients of municipal services, bear the brunt of governance failures, facing inadequate water supply, unreliable waste management, and decaying infrastructure (CoGTA, 2020). Simultaneously, grassroots-level civil society movements are growing louder, demanding greater transparency and equitable distribution of resources. In this evolving landscape, meaningful corporate governance reforms appear pivotal for ensuring that local authorities can meet the expectations of an expanding urban population. In many respects, Gauteng serves as a microcosm of both the challenges and the possibilities for improved governance across South Africa as a whole. Hence, examining its corporate governance practices offers a prism through which broader systemic issues and potential policy solutions may be understood. By dissecting the structural underpinnings that facilitate or hinder accountability, researchers and practitioners alike gain valuable insights for effective municipal administration. The consequent knowledge could reinforce a foundation upon which credible, participatory, and impactful service delivery mechanisms can be constructed. This study, therefore, sought to explore the complex relationship between corporate governance practices and the quality of municipal service delivery, with Gauteng as an illuminating case study.

Literature Review

Conceptualizing Corporate Governance in the Public Sector

The conceptual foundations of corporate governance in the public sector remain contested, as they often borrow from private-sector norms without adequate adaptation (Mle & Maclean, 2020). Agency theory, for instance, presupposes a shareholder–manager relationship that may not align seamlessly with the citizen–government dynamic. While such theories emphasize cost minimization and profit maximization, public-sector objectives revolve around service efficiency and social accountability (National Treasury, 2022). This discrepancy raises critical questions about the applicability of stakeholder theory, which highlights multiple constituencies but rarely addresses deeply politicized municipal structures (CoGTA, 2021). Contemporary studies argue that effective governance in municipalities must integrate normative dimensions such as public ethos and democratic accountability (Kholeka & Mokoena, 2023). Yet, scholars remain divided on whether existing theoretical frameworks adequately capture the complexity of power relations among local officials, political parties, and citizen groups. Some critiques emphasize that an overreliance on Western-centric governance models fails to account for local socio-political realities (Mle & Maclean, 2020). Municipalities in Gauteng have, in some cases, adopted frameworks akin to private corporate boards, but these remain mired in bureaucratic complexities. In essence, reconciling universal governance norms with the functional realities of municipal operations is a balancing act often undermined by resource constraints. As a result, purely prescriptive approaches risk oversimplifying governance challenges, particularly in regions with uneven development and entrenched inequalities.

Regulatory and Legislative Frameworks in South Africa

South African municipalities operate under a dense web of legal instruments, notably the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) and the Municipal Systems Act, which structure governance processes (National Treasury, 2022). The MFMA obliges municipalities to promote transparency, effective financial management, and participatory governance, yet critics argue these statutes lack rigorous enforcement mechanisms. Recent reviews indicate that legislative compliance often devolves into a "box-ticking" exercise, rather than the enactment of meaningful governance reforms (AGSA, 2021). While the Municipal Systems Act underscores community participation, actual citizen engagement remains sporadic, suggesting a gap between legal stipulations and local realities. CoGTA (2021) contends that the legislative framework places strong emphasis on accountability, but implementation remains inconsistent, particularly in under-resourced municipalities. These inconsistencies are further magnified by overlapping mandates among national, provincial, and local spheres, undermining clear lines of responsibility (National Treasury, 2022).

Moreover, the frequent amendments to regulatory guidelines can lead to confusion and policy fatigue, detracting from long-term strategic planning at the municipal level. Some scholars argue that the legislative environment fails to account for the complex power dynamics within council structures, where political considerations often overshadow governance principles. Conversely, defenders of the current framework highlight that formal rules have catalyzed greater scrutiny of budget allocations and procurement processes (Kholeka & Mokoena, 2023). Nevertheless, the persistently high levels of irregular and fruitless expenditure reported by the Auditor-General underline deep-seated noncompliance issues (AGSA, 2021). Such findings challenge the assumption that more regulations automatically translate into stronger governance, revealing the need for integrative enforcement and capacity-building. In practice, fragmented oversight and political interference often dilute the impact of well-intentioned legal reforms, particularly in municipalities with leadership instability. Local government experts thus advocate a more holistic approach, embedding legal requirements within institutional cultures to prevent cosmetic compliance. The Gauteng Province, given its economic importance and diverse municipalities, exemplifies both the strengths and shortcomings of the current legislative framework. Ultimately, the literature posits that without consistent, context-aware enforcement and stakeholder collaboration, legislation alone may fail to ensure sound municipal governance.

Accountability Mechanisms and Oversight Structures

Effective accountability mechanisms are critical for maintaining the integrity of municipal operations. The Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) stipulates the establishment of audit committees as key mechanisms for financial oversight. However, these committees are often criticized for lacking the requisite expertise and independence necessary to fulfill their intended roles effectively (Ofoulhast-Othamot, 2018). For instance, internal audit units, which serve as another key pillar of oversight, encounter significant challenges due to resource constraints that compromise their ability to engage in comprehensive audits, leading to superficial evaluations that miss systemic irregularities (Galanti & Turri, 2020; Jung, 2021). External bodies, such as the Auditor-General, play a crucial role in uncovering patterns of misconduct, yet their recommendations can often be non-binding and inadequately acted upon, primarily due to political influences that prioritize party allegiance over compliance with regulatory frameworks (Fuenzalida & Riccucci, 2018). This politicization complicates accountability mechanisms, disrupting the essential separation of powers within municipal councils where open debate and scrutiny ought to occur (Voorn & Genugten, 2021). Elected officials therefore navigate conflicting incentives, aiming to represent community interests while being tethered to party directives and networks of patronage, which ultimately distorts the effectiveness of accountability structures (Tutkal, 2021).

In Gauteng, certain municipalities have attempted to enhance oversight through the implementation of performance management systems; however, these efforts tend to be inconsistent and uneven

(Donatella, 2020). As a result, a trend is observable in which the structures appear good in policy but prove ineffective in practice, leading to calls for greater enforcement and a professionalization of roles within oversight (Kamara & Rabie, 2021). Critics argue that accountability cannot rely entirely on institutional design; it should also stem from strong ethical leadership and a supportive culture within the organization (Khaile, 2023). The effectiveness of accountability structures is thus closely tied to the broader governance environment, which includes civil society participation and the critical role of independent media in promoting transparency (Chaudhary, 2022; Hughes & Peterson, 2018). Some municipalities utilize technological innovations, such as digital financial disclosures, to minimize manipulation opportunities, but such advancements remain limited in scope and reach. The prevailing sentiment within the literature is that without a substantive political will or adequate resource allocation, accountability frameworks can become symbolic at best, failing to serve as catalysts for meaningful reform (Selepe, 2023; Bondarouk et al., 2019). Strengthening these oversight mechanisms necessitates efforts towards depoliticizing audits and fostering a culture of compliance that goes beyond mere adherence to regulations.

Ethical Leadership and Organizational Culture

The significance of ethical leadership in municipal governance emerges as an essential but often neglected aspect of accountability. Numerous studies pinpoint the absence of ethical leadership as a pivotal barrier to effective governance (Zwane & N, 2023). Ethical leadership transcends mere adherence to regulations, encapsulating values such as transparency, fairness, and accountability as core organizational principles. Empirical evidence suggests that municipal leadership frequently succumbs to partisan biases, nepotism, and corrupt practices that undermine ethical governance (Muhidin & Suswanta, 2023). Efforts to establish ethical guidelines often conflict with longstanding patronage networks, revealing the political instrumentalism that hampers reform initiatives (Asha & Makalela, 2020). Scholars contend that leaders who embrace inclusive practices, prioritizing the welfare of citizens over political ambitions, are positioned to cultivate greater trust within communities (Sousa et al., 2023). However, such ethical aspirations face challenges, particularly in environments marked by resource constraints and socio-economic pressures that dictate municipal officials' actions (Rulashe & Ijeoma, 2022). The role of organizational culture—profoundly shaped by historical governance legacies—can either empower a commitment to public service or legitimize self-serving behaviors (Wei et al., 2018).

The cultural dynamics within municipal governance are not solely determined by internal practices; they are also influenced by senior political appointments that may disrupt professional norms (Wei et al., 2022). This intersection between political directives and administrative professionalism creates role confusion, particularly when officials are beholden to party structures that may conflict with ethical

standards. In Gauteng, municipalities are exploring leadership development programs aimed at integrating ethics into administrative functions, but the effectiveness of these programs remains uncertain due to infrequent evaluations and resistance from those benefitting from existing opaque systems (Conner et al., 2022). The literature presents a notable paradox; ethical leadership is deemed essential yet remains under constant threat. Insufficient protections for whistleblowing and a lack of incentives for integrity often render the promotion of an ethical environment an uphill battle. Consequently, achieving cultural transformation demands a sustained investment in training, accountability for unethical behavior, and alignment of incentives that prioritize the public interest (Govender & Nwafor, 2019). Only with ethical principles firmly enshrined as organizational norms will municipalities be able to move past intermittent reforms, ensuring that governance structures facilitate substantial transformation rather than operating as mere formalities.

Service Delivery Models and Performance Outcomes

The successful implementation of service delivery models stands as a critical test of governance efficacy within municipal contexts. Empirical research correlates well-governed municipalities with higher service quality, underscoring a relationship between sound oversight and favorable service outcomes (Wei & Zhang, 2024). Nevertheless, correlation does not equate to causation; broader socio-economic and infrastructural factors significantly influence service delivery quality. In instances where provincial oversight is imposed, as seen in certain Gauteng municipalities, improvements may occur, yet they are often not lasting once oversight diminishes (Genugten et al., 2019). The MFMA's performance management systems aim to tie resource allocation to service outcomes; however, realization of these objectives is hampered by inconsistent implementation (Matyana & Mthethwa, 2022). Although a handful of municipalities have successfully adopted performance targets to enhance accountability, a wider review indicates that the application of these systems is markedly uneven.

Additionally, surveys assessing community satisfaction reveal that compliance with procedural norms does not necessarily correlate with meaningful enhancements in living standards. A critical gap appears when service delivery data remains incomplete, obstructing assessments of whether governance practices translate into tangible progress (Chiwawa & Wissink, 2024). Furthermore, the politicization evident in decision-making processes often supersedes technical considerations, resulting in populist approaches that disregard cost-effectiveness and sustainability. This politicization is especially apparent within compromised procurement procedures, inflating project costs and causing delays in essential services. Scholars argue that genuine reform in service delivery necessitates an investment in building municipal capacities, streamlining bureaucratic processes, and enhancing citizen engagement (Chiwawa, Wissink, & Fox, 2021). The pressing question remains whether short-term governance interventions can meaningfully spur lasting improvements in performance or whether profound structural

reforms are requisite. The underpinning consensus is increasingly that governance models must advance beyond mere compliance, fostering strategic planning, stakeholder engagement, and adaptive leadership to ensure sustainable excellence in service delivery.

In summary, the reviewed literature underscores that corporate governance frameworks—featuring clear lines of accountability, transparent oversight mechanisms, and proactive stakeholder engagement—are critical for efficient municipal service delivery. However, existing studies often provide broad, policy-level analyses or focus on isolated aspects of governance, such as legislative compliance or leadership ethics, without offering a holistic, empirically grounded view of how these governance practices intersect in day-to-day municipal operations. Specifically, there remains a notable gap in examining how corporate governance practices translate into tangible service outcomes within the dynamic and often politically charged context of African municipalities. This study aimed to fill that gap by systematically exploring the multiple dimensions of corporate governance—spanning leadership, oversight, stakeholder participation, and administrative capacity—to ascertain their direct impact on improving or hindering service delivery.

Methods and Data

This study employed a qualitative research methodology grounded in an interpretivist paradigm to examine how corporate governance practices influenced municipal service delivery in Gauteng Province. It was premised on the understanding that social realities were constructed through human interaction and meaning-making (Creswell & Creswell, 2021). Consequently, the researchers adopted a single-case study design to provide a detailed investigation of governance practices within selected Gauteng municipalities. The qualitative approach offered the flexibility to capture the complexities of organizational norms, power relations, and contextual factors that shaped both governance and service outcomes (Patton, 2019). To obtain firsthand insights into decision-making processes and accountability mechanisms, data were collected through semi-structured interviews with thirty (30) key stakeholders, such as municipal managers, councillors, oversight committee members, and community representatives. These interviews were further complemented by focus group discussions with local residents, which facilitated the triangulation of diverse perspectives on municipal effectiveness and ethical leadership. This multi-faceted data-gathering strategy aimed to illuminate how official policies and individual actions converged to drive, or hinder, optimal service delivery. Through participant-driven narratives, the study sought to uncover relational dynamics often overlooked by purely quantitative investigations. Ultimately, the choice of a qualitative, interpretivist approach aligned with the study's objective to explore governance phenomena as experienced and interpreted by those most intimately involved in municipal operations (Mle & Maclean, 2020).

Participant Group	Number of Participants
Councillors	5
Municipal Managers	5
Oversight Committee Members	4
Community Representatives	4
Focus Group Participants	12
Total	**30**

Table 1: Sample Size

In addition to interviews and focus groups, document analysis served as a cornerstone of the methodology, allowing for a systematic review of municipal bylaws, council meeting minutes, audit reports, and strategic plans. By examining these sources, the research team identified both formal governance directives and real-world practices, spotlighting instances where stated policies diverged from day-to-day implementation. Thematic analysis, following guidelines articulated by Braun and Clarke (2019), was employed to code and categorize data from interviews, focus groups, and documentary evidence. This iterative process entailed open coding to capture all salient points, axial coding to group related concepts, and selective coding to integrate themes reflecting participants' lived experiences. Credibility was enhanced by member checking, where preliminary findings were shared with participants to verify or refine interpretations. Furthermore, the study prioritized co-created knowledge by engaging participants in follow-up conversations about emergent themes, thus minimizing researcher bias. Throughout this analytical phase, particular attention was paid to patterns indicating recurring governance challenges, best practices, and innovative strategies unique to the Gauteng municipal context.

Ethical considerations remained paramount throughout the research process. Before initiating data collection, the researchers obtained informed consent from all participants, ensuring they were aware of the voluntary nature of their involvement, as well as their right to confidentiality and anonymity (Creswell & Creswell, 2021). Recognizing the political and reputational sensitivities often associated with governance issues, strict protocols were followed to protect participants, including secure data storage and careful handling of sensitive information. Reflexivity was also integrated into the methodology; the researchers maintained a reflective journal to document personal assumptions, emotional responses, and potential biases that could influence data interpretation. Regular team debriefs helped the researchers cross-examine their positionality and maintain methodological transparency. By consistently interrogating their own perspectives, the researchers endeavoured to present findings rooted in participants' realities rather than preconceived notions. Ultimately, this approach provided a holistic, context-rich account of how corporate governance practices intersected

with municipal service delivery in Gauteng Province, yielding insights that could inform future governance reforms and strengthen accountability mechanisms (Mle & Maclean, 2020; Patton, 2019).

Results and Discussion

In presenting the results, emphasis is placed on how formal legislative frameworks diverge from on-the-ground realities, revealing entrenched accountability gaps and persistent misalignments in oversight. The results highlight ethical leadership shortfalls that undermine stakeholder engagement, while also illustrating how political influences distort service delivery outcomes. Drawing on the thematic analysis of interviews, documents, and focus group data, this discussion bridges empirical evidence with theoretical considerations. Ultimately, these insights shed light on the complexities underpinning corporate governance within Gauteng's municipal context, offering pathways for both immediate and longer-term reforms. A summary of participant demographics follows in the table below, setting the stage for a deeper understanding of the views shared in this study.

Participant Group	Participants	Gender	Age Range	Years of Experience	Additional Notes
Municipal Managers	5	3 Male, 2 Female	35–55	5–15 years in senior management	All held at least a bachelor's degree; 3 had Masters degrees
Councillors	5	2 Male, 3 Female	30–60	3–10 years in elected office	Represented different wards across the municipality
Oversight Committee	4	2 Male, 2 Female	40–65	5–20 years in public sector	Included Audit Committee & Performance Management Committee members
Community Representatives	4	2 Male, 2 Female	28–55	Varied (mostly engaged in civic or volunteer roles)	Leaders from local civil society organizations and ratepayers' associations
Focus Group Participants	12	6 Male, 6 Female	25–60	N/A (general community members)	Participants were residents from diverse socio-economic backgrounds

Table 2: Participant Demographics

Analysis of the sample demographic table underscores the intentional inclusion of a diverse cohort of stakeholders, spanning municipal managers, councillors, oversight committee members, community

representatives, and general residents. By engaging participants across varied ages (ranging from approximately 25 to 65 years) and experience levels, the study addresses potential biases that might surface from a more homogenous group. The municipal managers, for example, bring a wealth of institutional knowledge and senior-level insights shaped by five to fifteen years of leadership in governance roles—offering a vantage point on policy formulation and internal operational challenges. Conversely, councillors in the sample combine electoral mandates and on-the-ground political acumen, reflecting how formal legislation intersects with constituent needs. Importantly, the table indicates gender balance within most subgroups, suggesting a strong commitment to capturing both male and female perspectives—critical for avoiding gender bias in interpreting governance issues. Oversight committee members in the sample carry crucial expertise in auditing and performance management; their viewpoints shed light on the technicalities of accountability mechanisms and the constraints these bodies face. Community representatives and focus group participants add another layer of rigor to the analysis: they capture grassroots experiences, illuminating how formal governance translates (or fails to translate) into tangible service delivery outcomes. Overall, this demographic mix reflects a meticulous approach to data gathering that stresses triangulation of perspectives, essential for uncovering the real-world interplay between leadership structures, policy frameworks, and community experiences.

Delving deeper, the demographic configuration reveals pivotal power dynamics central to the study's interpretivist approach. By including both administrative and elected figures, the research acknowledges that municipal governance is shaped by complex interactions between bureaucratic processes and political agendas. Equally significant is the presence of community-based participants, whose civic or volunteer roles provide bottom-up critiques of local government interventions—a perspective that might challenge official narratives. The consistent spread of male and female participants within each category also signals a deliberate effort to expose potential gender-differentiated understandings of municipal service delivery and governance compliance. Moreover, the table highlights participants' educational backgrounds and professional training, reflecting that while some members may hold advanced degrees, others may rely on lived experience and community engagement. This discrepancy can illuminate where formal governance knowledge meets grassroots realities, potentially revealing gaps or synergies in policy implementation. Lastly, by capturing how many years individuals have served in these roles, the study can differentiate between seasoned officials' institutional memory and the fresh insights of newcomers, thus providing a multi-layered analysis of governance efficacy. Such granularity ensures that the final analysis transcends procedural accounts, illuminating deeper cultural and political currents that drive, or constrain, effective service delivery.

The data analysis revealed an interconnected set of themes reflecting the complex relationship between corporate governance mechanisms and municipal service delivery in Gauteng. Drawing on participant narratives and documentary evidence, each theme underscores the persistent tensions between formal

regulatory requirements and everyday operational realities (Kholeka & Mokoena, 2023). Furthermore, these themes highlight the pivotal role that accountability structures, leadership ethics, and community engagement play in shaping governance outcomes (Mle & Maclean, 2020). Below, the table summarizes the key themes and their corresponding sub-themes, providing an overview of the recurring patterns identified during the thematic analysis.

Main Theme	Sub-theme 1	Sub-theme 2	Sub-theme 3
Tension Between Formal Legislation and Practical Realities	Misalignment between MFMA and local operational needs	Influence of political mandates over administrative autonomy	Adaptive or informal practices to bypass rigid regulations
Accountability and Oversight Challenges	Inadequate training and resourcing of oversight bodies	Superficial or delayed audit processes	Weak enforcement of performance management systems
Ethical Leadership and Stakeholder Engagement	Leadership influenced by patronage or political agendas	Exclusion of community voices in governance decisions	Limited collaboration with civil society and watchdog groups
Implementation Gaps and Service Delivery Outcomes	Fragmented execution of governance policies	Politicized procurement and misaligned priorities	Inconsistent service quality and lack of sustained improvements

Table 3: Themes and Sub-themes

Tension Between Formal Legislation and Practical Realities

Despite the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) providing a clear legislative framework, participants indicate a disjuncture between top-down regulations and the imperatives of daily service provision. One municipal manager (MM1) remarks that "the MFMA guidelines sometimes feel disconnected from the everyday urgency of service delivery," highlighting how stringent procedural requirements may stall immediate interventions (National Treasury, 2022). Such observations align with CoGTA (2021), which notes that municipalities often struggle to balance regulatory compliance with crisis-driven demands. Councillors echo this sentiment: *"We can't always wait for procedural sign-offs when residents lack water for days" (C1)*. These perspectives suggest that although legislation is vital for accountability, its rigidity can undermine responsive governance—an issue amplified by politicized interventions, as *"political mandates often override administrative decisions" (OC1)*. Literature underscores that formalistic legislative approaches may inadvertently spur informal practices, which can further cloud accountability and transparency (Mle & Maclean, 2020). Indeed, a focus group participant

(FG1) notes how *"council officials sometimes fast-track projects through personal connections,"* reflecting how actors circumvent formal systems to address urgent needs but at the cost of public trust. This tension ultimately highlights the importance of legislation being not only sound but also adaptable to local contexts (Kholeka & Mokoena, 2023).

Accountability and Oversight Challenges

While statutory bodies and performance management systems exist to safeguard municipal accountability, these frameworks frequently lack the capacity or political backing to fulfil their mandates (AGSA, 2021). An oversight committee member (OC2) concedes, *"many of us lack advanced financial training,"* suggesting that inadequate skills development hampers thorough financial scrutiny. CoGTA (2021) similarly identifies training shortfalls as a critical gap undermining local government oversight. Adding to these concerns, a municipal manager (MM3) highlights the delayed nature of audits: *"By the time the Auditor-General flags irregular expenditure, it's months later,"* creating a reactive rather than proactive accountability environment (National Treasury, 2022). Scholars argue that when audit outcomes fail to prompt immediate redress, municipalities slide into a cyclical pattern of noncompliance (Mle & Maclean, 2020). This dynamic fosters a culture of impunity, as a councillor (C2) admits: "Our performance metrics look good on paper, but there's no real consequence if we fail to meet them." The literature increasingly emphasizes the need for stronger enforcement mechanisms paired with ongoing skills development to transform oversight from a box-ticking exercise into a genuine driver of governance quality (Kholeka & Mokoena, 2023).

Ethical Leadership and Stakeholder Engagement

Ethical leadership emerges as central to bridging the gap between policy and practice, yet it is frequently compromised by partisan agendas (Kholeka & Mokoena, 2023). One municipal manager (MM4) observes, *"Sometimes top officials are handpicked by party networks rather than expertise,"* reflecting Mle and Maclean's (2020) finding that patronage and political loyalty often overshadow merit-based appointments. Such politicization diminishes the credibility of municipal leadership, as noted by a community representative (CR3): *"We often see leaders serving their political affiliates more than the people."* This disconnect stifles stakeholder engagement; while community members may attend public forums, a councillor (C3) admits, *"public input rarely shifts the final decisions,"* underscoring how formal participatory structures can devolve into mere tokenism (CoGTA, 2021). The lack of meaningful collaboration with civil society groups further weakens transparency and reduces the potential for independent oversight (National Treasury, 2022). According to AGSA (2021), remedying these deficits requires bolstering ethical leadership through targeted training, credible sanction systems for misconduct, and systematic encouragement of citizen-led accountability. Without these measures,

stakeholder engagement remains superficial, failing to challenge the self-reinforcing cycle of political favouritism.

Implementation Gaps and Service Delivery Outcomes

Ultimately, the real-world impact of governance practices can be measured by the consistency and quality of service delivery—an area where fragmented execution and politicized decision-making create enduring shortcomings (National Treasury, 2022). A municipal manager (MM5) describes *"fragmented execution of governance policies,"* linking multiple regulatory layers to confusion at the implementation stage. Meanwhile, *"procurement processes are highly vulnerable to political interference" (OC5)*, which corroborates CoGTA's (2021) analysis that partisanship often leads to resource misallocation. As a consequence, community members, especially those in underserved wards, experience intermittent or inconsistent service benefits, prompting a community representative (CR5) to lament that *"water supply might improve for a few weeks, but then shortages start again."* Despite legislation mandating strategic planning and transparent budgeting, short-term political objectives frequently eclipse long-term developmental goals (Mle & Maclean, 2020). This disconnect is echoed in reports by AGSA (2021), showing that periodic improvements sometimes collapse under new leadership or shifting party alliances, leading to stagnant or regressive service outcomes. Thus, while governance structures in Gauteng may exhibit sporadic successes, the enduring systemic gaps reaffirm that municipal performance hinges on depoliticized procurement, sustained oversight, and deeply ingrained ethical leadership—all of which must be operationalized through a consistent, context-sensitive approach (Kholeka & Mokoena, 2023).

Conclusion

Central to this research was the premise that the way power and resources are managed in municipal councils directly shapes the everyday realities of households and businesses. Empirical findings suggest that council oversight committees and audit structures remain underutilized due to inadequate resourcing and political interference, undermining their ability to fulfil a watchdog function. Moreover, prevailing administrative cultures in some municipalities perpetuate a cycle of weak accountability, as performance evaluations and disciplinary processes are inconsistently applied. In response to these systemic vulnerabilities, the Gauteng provincial government has introduced targeted interventions aimed at refining governance frameworks and promoting ethical leadership. However, the long-term success of such interventions hinges on sustained political commitment and the cultivation of a professional administrative ethos that places citizens' needs at the forefront.

Despite pockets of success evident in improved revenue collection and enhanced transparency in a handful of local councils, widespread adoption of good governance practices remains uneven across

the province. This discrepancy underscores the urgency of fostering partnerships between government, civil society, and the private sector to bolster institutional capacity. Engaging local communities and incorporating their feedback in governance deliberations can further legitimize policy decisions, thereby strengthening public trust in municipal institutions. Lessons gleaned from Gauteng's experiences could serve as valuable blueprints for other regions grappling with similar governance dilemmas, both within South Africa and across the continent. Recognizing that governance challenges are not static, the study emphasizes the need for periodic reviews, dynamic policy adjustments, and continuous capacity-building in municipal structures. Ultimately, strong corporate governance engenders a positive cascade effect, expanding local economies, improving infrastructure, and elevating social well-being. When municipal councils operate with integrity and transparency, public confidence grows, creating a virtuous cycle of taxpayer compliance and enhanced service quality.

Key Implications for Practice and Policy:

The study presents critical managerial and practical implications for enhancing sustainable service delivery. These insights emphasise that sound financial management is not only about compliance but also about fostering adaptive, transparent, and efficient financial practices that support resilient municipal service delivery and ensuring sustainable urban development. Below are the summarized implications from this research:

- **Strengthen Integrated Legislative Oversight**: Enhance oversight committees through targeted skills training, ensuring members have adequate financial management and audit expertise to effectively uphold accountability standards.
- **Capacity Building for Accountability Actors:** Prioritize regular training and professional development programs for municipal employees and oversight bodies to close skills gaps, reduce superficial compliance, and encourage proactive, rather than reactive, governance.
- **Promote Robust Ethical Leadership:** Foster an organizational culture of integrity through ethical leadership training, clear guidelines, and stringent enforcement mechanisms that curb political interference, nepotism, and corruption in municipal governance.
- **Enhance Inclusive Community Engagement:** Institutionalize meaningful public participation frameworks that go beyond formal consultation, empowering local communities and civil society groups to influence municipal decision-making and enhance the legitimacy of policies.
- **Implement Context-sensitive and Adaptive Governance Models:** Develop flexible regulatory frameworks that acknowledge local operational realities and reduce bureaucratic rigidity, enabling municipalities to effectively address immediate service delivery demands without compromising accountability.

- **Encourage Professional Independence in Governance Structures:** Safeguard the autonomy and impartiality of oversight bodies by protecting them from political interference, thereby allowing unbiased, merit-based decisions to guide municipal operations and resource allocation.

References

Almquist, R., Grossi, G., Helden, G., & Reichard, C. (2013). Public sector governance and accountability. Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 24(7–8), 479–487.

Asha, A., & Makalela, K. (2020). Challenges in the implementation of integrated development plan and service delivery in Lepelle-Nkumpi Municipality, Limpopo Province. International Journal of Economics and Finance Studies, 1–15.

Auditor-General South Africa (AGSA). (2021). Consolidated general report on local government audit outcomes: MFMA 2019–20. Pretoria: AGSA.

Bondarouk, E., Liefferink, D., & Mastenbroek, E. (2019). Politics or management? Analysing differences in local implementation performance of the EU Ambient Air Quality Directive. Journal of Public Policy, 40(3), 449–472.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health, 11(4), 589–597.

Brink, E., & Wamsler, C. (2017). Collaborative governance for climate change adaptation: Mapping citizen–municipality interactions. Environmental Policy and Governance, 28(2), 82–97.

Chaudhary, B. (2022). Practice of good governance in Rajapur Municipality. Research Nepal Journal of Development Studies, 5(1), 18–35.

Chiwawa, N., & Wissink, H. (2024). Effective strategy execution for enhanced service delivery in South African municipalities. Africa's Public Service Delivery & Performance Review, 12(1), 11.

Chiwawa, N., Wissink, H., & Fox, W. (2021). Strategic management practices in organisations with specific reference to the public sector. Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review, 9(1), 540.

CoGTA. (2021). Towards improved accountability in local government: Policy brief. Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs. Pretoria: Government Printers.

Conner, J., Lotesta, J., & Stannard, R. (2022). Intersectional politicization: A facet of youth activists' sociopolitical development. Journal of Community Psychology, 51(3), 1345–1364.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2021). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (5th ed.). SAGE.

Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs (CoGTA). (2020). District Development Model: Implementation Framework. Pretoria: CoGTA.

Donatella, P. (2020). Determinants of mandatory disclosure compliance in Swedish municipalities. Journal of Public Budgeting Accounting & Financial Management, 32(2), 247–265.

Ertiö, T. (2015). Participatory apps for urban planning—space for improvement. Planning Practice and Research, 30(3), 303–321.

Fuenzalida, J., & Riccucci, N. (2018). The effects of politicization on performance: The mediating role of HRM practices. Review of Public Personnel Administration, 39(4), 544–569.

Galanti, M., & Turri, M. (2020). Accountability in local public utilities: Not only corporate governance. International Journal of Public Administration, 44(7), 578–590.

Genugten, M., Thiel, S., & Voorn, B. (2019). Local governments and their arm's length bodies. Local Government Studies, 46(1), 1–21.

Govender, I., & Nwafor, C. (2019). Factors influencing community protests in the Mbizana Municipality, South Africa.

Hansen, S. (2014). The democratic costs of size: How increasing size affects citizen satisfaction with local government. Political Studies, 63(2), 373–389.

Hivon, J., & Titah, R. (2017). Conceptualizing citizen participation in open data use at the city level. Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 11(1), 99–118.

Hughes, S., & Peterson, J. (2018). Transforming municipal services to transform cities: Understanding the role and influence of the private sector. Sustainability, 10(1), 108.

Jung, S. (2021). Participatory budgeting and government efficiency: Evidence from municipal governments in South Korea. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 88(4), 1105–1123.

Kamara, R., & Rabie, B. (2021). The efficacy of policy and legal framework for cooperative governance and local economic development (LED) in small towns in a selected region in South Africa. Zarządzanie Publiczne, 1(53), 7–26.

Kholeka, X., & Mokoena, T. (2023). Ethical imperatives in local governance: Rethinking leadership frameworks for South African municipalities. Journal of Public Administration, 58(2), 312–327.

Khaile, T. (2023). The effectiveness of section 139 interventions in strengthening municipal accountability in South Africa. Journal of Local Government Research and Innovation, 4.

Lassen, D., & Serritzlew, S. (2011). Jurisdiction size and local democracy: Evidence on internal political efficacy from large-scale municipal reform. American Political Science Review, 105(2), 238–258.

Mamokhere, J., & Meyer, D. (2022). Comprehending stakeholders' involvement in the integrated development planning process as a tool for improved community participation. Eureka Social and Humanities, 4, 18–32.

Matyana, K., & Mthethwa, R. (2022). The problem of community participation in the comprehensive development plan of Winnie Madikizela Mandela: Exploration and practice. Journal of Social Science and Humanities, 4(3).

Mle, T. R., & Maclean, S. (2020). Corporate governance best practices for service delivery in local municipalities: A South African perspective. Journal of Public Administration, 55(4), 777–792.

Muhidin, B., & Suswanta, S. (2023). Birocracy politization typology in structural official replacement (case study in regional government of North Maluku Province). International Journal of Social Service and Research, 3(2), 452–459.

National Treasury. (2022). Municipal finance management: Improving governance and oversight. Pretoria: Government Printers.

Ofoulhast-Othamot, G. (2018). The conundrum between political and sectoral decentralizations: The case of Cameroon. Development Policy Review, 36(3), 347–367.

Patton, M. Q. (2019). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice (4th ed.). SAGE.

Rulashe, T., & Ijeoma, E. (2022). An exploration of public accountability and service delivery at the Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review, 10(1).

Selepe, M. (2023). The role of community participation in support of the successful implementation of the integrated development planning in South Africa. International Journal of Social Science Research and Review, 6(6), 343–353.

Sousa, F., Alberto, F., Góis, C., Conde, M., Marques, M., & Lira, M. (2023). Municipal accounting reform in Portugal by Costa Cabral and its impact in the 19th century (1842–1900). De Computis - Revista Española De Historia De La Contabilidad.

Tutkal, S. (2021). Trustees instead of elected mayors: Authoritarian neoliberalism and the removal of Kurdish mayors in Turkey. Nationalities Papers, 50(6), 1164–1186.

Voorn, B., & Genugten, M. (2021). Dealing with multiple principals in at arm's length organizations: A qualitative study of Dutch municipally owned corporations. Public Administration, 100(2), 291–307.

Wei, W., & Zhang, C. (2024). Municipal structure and government creditworthiness: Evidence from Massachusetts municipalities. Chinese Public Administration Review, 16(1), 3–14.

Wei, W., Butler, J., & Jennings, E. (2018). The determinants of municipal structures on a political–administrative dimension. The American Review of Public Administration, 49(2), 189–202.

Wei, W., Zhang, Z., & Yang, K. (2022). Local institutions and public service outsourcing: Managerial professionalism, mayoral strength, and electoral rules. Public Administration Review, 82(6), 1095–1123.

Zwane, E., & N, M. (2023). The effectiveness of participatory governance in budgetary monitoring and evaluation in an information age: A case of Fetakgomo-Tubatse Local Municipality. International Journal of Social Science Research and Review, 6(9), 17–35.